

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/584,335	Applicant(s) LEE ET AL.	
	Examiner ASHLEY SHIVERS	Art Unit 2477	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) ASHLEY SHIVERS. (3) ____.
- (2) Steve Kirkwood/Attorney. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 07 October 2011.

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: ____.

Issues Discussed ☐ 101 ☒ 112 ☐ 102 ☒ 103 ☐ Others
(For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: 20,21,25,28,29,33,35,36,40 and 41.

Identification of prior art discussed: ____.

Substance of Interview

(For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

9/9/2011:

1) Examiner requested that Attorney clarify where the "sequence composed of +1 and -1" was located in the specification.

2) Examiner also requested that claims 40 and 41 be canceled as the specification does not support the structure of the transmitter and receiver and would lead to a 112 para. 6 invocation.

9/19/2011:

1) Attorney indicated that the various equations indicate an inverting of values that create the link between the sequence composition indicated above.

2) Applicants also agreed to cancel claims 40 and 41.

3) Examiner indicated another Examiner's amendment would be done to fix punctuation issues, a typographical error within the title of the invention and the above request to cancel claims 40 and 41.

10/7/2011:

1) Examiner informed Attorney of the need to send a final rejection instead of a notice of allowance as upon further examination, new references were found to reject the newly amended claim.

2) Examiner and Attorney agreed to disregard the other proposed Examiner's Amendments.

3) Examiner suggested that after the final rejection has been received, an interview may assist in finding other allowable subject matter.

Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment

/A. S./
Examiner, Art Unit 2477

